1	HANNI M. FAKHOURY California Bar No. 252629				
2	FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC. 225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101-5008				
3					
4	Telephone: (619) 234-8467 Hanni_Fakhoury@fd.org				
5	Attorneys for Ms. Dagnino				
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	(HONORABLE WILLIAM Q. HAYES)				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO.: 11CR0229-WQH-02			
12	Plaintiff,) DATE: April 11, 2011) TIME: 2:00 p.m.			
13	v.)) DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO UNITED			
14	YVETTE DAGNINO, ET AL.,) STATES' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER			
15	Defendant.) MOTIONS			
16)			
17	I.				
18	STATEMENT OF FACTS				
19	On January 19, 2011, a six count indictment was filed, charging Ms. Dagnino and Ms. Beltran with				
20	transporting illegal aliens and aiding and abetting, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (v)(ii)				
21	On March 30, 2011, this Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding the validity of the traffic stop				
22	that resulted in Ms. Dagnino's arrest. The Court heard the testimony of Agent Garcia who explained he				
23	wanted to pull over the red car and requested the Brawley police initiate a traffic stop. He further explained				
24	that despite the fact he was following the red car at the time the Brawley police pulled the Sentra over				
25	(meaning he witnessed the traffic stop), he had no idea why the red car was pulled over. He also conceded				
26	that his report, which stated the red car was pulled over "for failure to use a turn signal as the Sentra turned				
27	northbound on highway 111 from River Street" w	vas wrong as Highway 111 and River street do not intersect.			
28	//				

Case 3:11-cr-00229-WQH Document 54 Filed 04/04/11 Page 2 of 4

The Court also heard the testimony of Brawley police officer Kelly Brown, who explained that when requested to pull the Sentra over, he was informed by Border Patrol that he had to find his own probable cause. Moreover, Officer Brown explained that he ultimately decided to pull the Sentra over because it had driven with its turn signal on for approximately 100 feet without making a left turn. He conceded that there were left turns about every mile on highway 111, and testified that he had followed the Sentra for approximately 2 miles before pulling it over.

CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE § 22108 FURTHER HIGHLIGHTS THE LACK OF REASONABLE AND ARTICULABLE SUSPICION IN STOPPING MS. DAGNINO'S CAR

II.

Obviously Ms. Dagnino has no objection to the Court taking judicial notice of the law. Especially when the law is favorable to her. California Vehicle Code § 22108 explains that "[a]ny signal of intention to turn right or left shall be given continuously during the last 100 feet traveled by the vehicle before turning." In other words, Officer Brown's testimony that the Sentra was driving 100 feet with its turn signal on meant that Ms. Dagnino was operating the car in compliance with state law. It is important to note that Vehicle Code § 22108 does not make the 100 feet limitation a maximum. Rather it is the minimum distance away from which a car must have its signal on before turning. A car could drive for 1 mile with its turn signal on provided that at least 100 feet before the turn, a car initiates its turn light. Thus, contrary to Officer Brown's testimony, Ms. Dagnino had not violated the California Vehicle Code.

Rather, the stop of Ms. Dagnino was part of a concerted effort by the Border Patrol to pull her over for investigative purposes without any reasonable and articulable suspicion that she was engaged in criminal activity or violated the law. Without any legal authority to initiate a traffic stop, they invited Officer Brown to find his own probable cause. He waited 100 feet before pulling her car over. As Vehicle Code § 22108 shows, signaling for 100 feet was not a violation of the vehicle code.

The stop of Ms. Dagnino was illegal. All fruits obtained as a result must be suppressed.

25 //

//

//

28 //

	Case 3.11-ci-00229-wQH Document 54 Filed 04/04/11 Page 3 of 4			
1	III.			
2	<u>CONCLUSION</u>			
3	For the reasons stated above, Ms. Dagnino respectfully requests that the Court grant her motion to			
4	suppress.			
5	Respectfully submitted,			
6				
7	Dated: April 4, 2011 <u>s/ Hanni M. Fakhoury</u> HANNI M. FAKHOURY			
8	Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc. Attorneys for Ms. Dagnino			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
1920				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	3 11CR0229-WQH-02			

	Case 3:11-cr-00229-WQH	Document 54 Filed 04/04/11 Page	4 of 4		
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	Counsel for Defendant certifies that the foregoing pleading is true and accurate to the best of his				
3	information and belief, and that a copy of the foregoing document has been served this day upon:				
4	JOSE CASTILLO				
5	Assistant U.S. Attorney Email: Jose.Castillo3@usdoj.gov				
6	BRIAN P. FUNK				
7	Law Office of Brian P Funk Email: bfunkesq@cs.com				
8	REZA KERAMATI Employment Mediation & Litigation Services, APC Email: rabrera@emlslaw.com				
10					
11		Respectfully submitted.			
12					
13	Dated: April 4, 2011	<u>/s/ Hanni Fakhoury</u> HANNI M. FAKHOURY			
14		Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc 225 Broadway, Suite 900			
15		San Diego, CA 92101-5030 (619) 234-8467 (tel) (619) 687-2666 (fax)			
1617		e-mail: Hanni_Fakhoury@fd.org			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		4	11CR0229-WQH-02		